APPENDIX A-1

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

HENRY E. GOSSAGE, Petitioner

MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD, Respondent

2021-1559

Petition for review of the Merit Systems Protection Board in No. SE-0731-01-0261-M-1.

ON MOTION

PER CURIAM.

ORDER

In response to the court's February 24, 2021 order to show cause, the Merit Systems Protection Board urges dis-missal of this petition for review as untimely. Henry E. Gossage opposes dismissal.

Mr. Gossage's petition filed at this court indicates thathe seeks judicial review of an August 25, 2006 decision of an administrative judge of the Merit Systems Protection Board in SE-0731-01-0261-M-1, which on September 29, 2006 became the Board's final decision in that matter.

Section 7703(b)(1)(A) of title 5 of the U.S. Code states that a petition for review

from the Board "shall be filed within 60 days after the Board issues notice of the final or-der or decision of the Board." 5 U.S.C. § 7703(b)(1)(A). In his response to this

court's show cause order, Mr. Gossageargues that the cause of his untimeliness and

delay in seeking judicial review was the result of misconduct on the partof the Office

of Personnel Management.

This court has held that section 7703(b)(1)(A)'s dead- line is jurisdictional and not subject to equitable tolling. See Fedora v. Merit Sys. Prot. Bd., 848 F.3d 1013,

1016 (Fed. Cir. 2017) (confirming that § 7703(b)(1)(A)'s deadlineis jurisdictional).

Thus, we may only consider whether the petition for review was timely filed at this

court and can not toll the deadline based on Mr. Gossage's personal circumstances.

Because the petition here was received outside ofthe 60-day filing deadline, we must

dismiss.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The petition for review is dismissed.
- (2) All pending motions are denied as moot.
- (3) Each side shall bear its own costs.

FOR THE COURT

April 20, 2021 DATE /s/ Peter R. Marksteiner Peter R. Marksteiner Clerk of Court

S24