

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. SA CV 19-1902-DOC (JDEx)

Date: January 27, 2020

Title: NAGUI MANKARUSE ET AL V. INTEL CORPORATION

---

PRESENT:

THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE

Kelly Davis  
Courtroom Clerk

Not Present  
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR  
PLAINTIFF:  
None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR  
DEFENDANT:  
None Present

---

**PROCEEDINGS (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO  
DECLARE PLAINTIFF A  
VEXATIOUS LITIGANT [27]**

Before the Court is Defendant Intel's ("Defendant") Motion to Declare Plaintiff a Vexatious Litigant ("Motion") (Dkt. 27). Having reviewed the papers and considered the parties' oral arguments on January 21, 2020, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant's Motion.<sup>1</sup>

The Court incorporates its order in the related case *Mankaruse v. Raytheon et al*, Case No. 8:19-cv-1904 DOC (JDEx) (the "Raytheon Action") granting an almost identical motion declaring Plaintiff a vexatious litigant. *See* Raytheon Action at Dkt. 68. The Court adopts the legal and factual findings in that order to **GRANT** Defendant's Motion here.

Given Plaintiff's continued filings against Raytheon and Intel, the Court **DECLARES** Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and finds that a prefiling order is appropriate moving forward. Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to seek prefiling approval in this Court prior to

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that the hearing on January 21, 2020 was regarding the Motion to Declare Plaintiff a Vexatious Litigant in the Raytheon Action. However, Plaintiff argued his position fully on that day, and the Motion in the Raytheon Action is almost identical to the Motion in the instant action.

Case 8:19-cv-01902-DOC-JDE Document 34 Filed 01/27/20 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:1174

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No. SA CV 19-1902-DOC (JDEx)

Date: January 27, 2020  
Page 2

filing future cases in the Central District of California *pro se* against Raytheon, TRS, Intel, any of their employees, officers, or agents regarding Plaintiff's prior employment with these entities or regarding any alleged stolen trade secrets or patent infringement by these actors. *See* C.D. Cal. R. 83-8.2. This order will not deny Plaintiff access to the courts generally. Instead, it subjects Plaintiff to an initial screening regarding a subset of potential future litigation against particular parties that Plaintiff has unfairly targeted *pro se*. The Court also **GRANTS** Intel's request for a security bond in the amount of \$25,000. *Id.* This bond is in addition to the bond that must be paid to proceed in the Raytheon Action. Plaintiff must pay the security bond on or before February 29, 2020 or the action will be dismissed. The Court **STAYS** the matter until the payment of the security bond.

**I. Disposition**

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant's Motion. The Court **DECLARES** Plaintiff a vexatious litigant, **ISSUES** a prefiling order as described above, and **ORDERS** a security bond in the amount of \$25,000. The Court **STAYS** the action pending payment of the security bond.

The Clerk shall serve this minute order on the parties.

Initials of Deputy Clerk kd

MINUTES FORM 11  
CIVIL-GEN

CAROLYN HOECKER LUEDTKE (State Bar No. 207976)  
carolyn.luedtke@mto.com  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
560 Mission Street  
Twenty-Seventh Floor  
San Francisco, California 94105-2907  
Telephone: (415) 512-4000  
Facsimile: (415) 512-4077

PETER E. GRATZINGER (State Bar No. 228764)  
peter.gratzinger@mto.com  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
350 South Grand Avenue  
Fiftieth Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 683-9100  
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702

Attorneys for Defendants  
Intel Corporation, Acer America  
Corporation, Daniel Patrick Docter, Andy  
Bryant, and Matthew Hulse

UNITED STATES FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NAGUI MANKARUSE, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware  
Corporation; ACER AMERICA  
CORPORATION, A Delaware  
Corporation; DANIEL PATRICK  
DOCTER, an individual; ANDY D.  
BRYANT, an individual; MATTHEW  
ROBERT HULSE, an individual; and  
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: SACV 19-01902-DOC (JDEx)

**JUDGMENT**

Judge: Hon. David O. Carter  
Date: N/A  
Time: N/A  
Crtrm. 9D

Complaint Filed: October 3, 2019  
Trial Date: Not assigned

1 On January 27, 2020, the Court issued an Order Granting Motion To Declare  
2 Plaintiff A Vexatious Litigant (Dkt. 34). The Court ordered Plaintiff Nagui  
3 Mankaruse ("Plaintiff") to pay a security bond in the amount of \$25,000 on or  
4 before February 29, 2020 or this action would be dismissed. As of May 18, 2020,  
5 Plaintiff has failed to post the required bond. According, **IT IS HEREBY**  
6 **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that this action is hereby  
7 **DISMISSED** in its entirety.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9  
10  
11  
12 Dated: May 18, 2020



13 The Honorable David O. Carter  
14 United States District Court Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



CAROLYN HOECKER LUEDTKE (State Bar No. 207976)  
carolyn.luedtke@mto.com  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
560 Mission Street  
Twenty-Seventh Floor  
San Francisco, California 94105-2907  
Telephone: (415) 512-4000  
Facsimile: (415) 512-4077

PETER E. GRATZINGER (State Bar No. 228764)  
peter.gratzinger@mto.com  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
350 South Grand Avenue  
Fiftieth Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 683-9100  
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702

Attorneys for Defendants  
Intel Corporation, Acer America  
Corporation, Daniel Patrick Docter, Andy  
Bryant, and Matthew Hulse

UNITED STATES FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NAGUI MANKARUSE, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware  
Corporation; ACER AMERICA  
CORPORATION, A Delaware  
Corporation; DANIEL PATRICK  
DOCTER, an individual; ANDY D.  
BRYANT, an individual; MATTHEW  
ROBERT HULSE, an individual; and  
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: SACV 19-01902-DOC (JDEx)

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

Complaint Filed: October 3, 2019  
Trial Date: Not assigned

Case No. SACV 19-01902-DOC (JDEx)

PROOF OF SERVICE

Appx005

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. My business address is 560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105-2907.

On May 18, 2020, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as

**NOTICE OF LODGING OF [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT**

on the interested parties in this action as follows:

**SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST**

**BY FEDEX:** I enclosed said document(s) in an envelope or package provided by FedEx and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of FedEx or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by FedEx to receive documents.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 18, 2020, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Stephanie Ferrell

Stephanie Ferrell

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SERVICE LIST**

Nagui Mankaruse  
19081 Carp Circle  
Huntington Beach, CA 92646