

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

TWITTER, INC.,
Defendant-Appellee

2019-1808

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in No. 5:18-cv-04523-LHK, United States District Judge Lucy H. Koh.

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

**CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, DBA VERIZON
WIRELESS SERVICES LLC,**
Defendant-Appellee

2019-1812

2

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. v. TWITTER, INC.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in No. 5:18-cv-06054-LHK, United States District Judge Lucy H. Koh.

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

AT&T CORP.,
Defendant-Appellee

2019-1813

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in No. 5:18-cv-06177-LHK, United States District Judge Lucy H. Koh.

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,
Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

APPLE, INC.,
Defendant-Appellee

2019-1814

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. v. TWITTER, INC.

3

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in No. 5:18-cv-06217-LHK, United States District Judge Lucy H. Koh.

**ON PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING AND
REHEARING EN BANC**

Before PROST, *Chief Judge*, NEWMAN, LOURIE, DYK,
MOORE, O'MALLEY, REYNA, WALLACH, TARANTO, CHEN,
HUGHES, and STOLL, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

O R D E R

Appellant Voip-Pal.com, Inc. filed a combined petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc. The petition was referred to the panel that heard the appeal, and thereafter the petition for rehearing en banc was referred to the circuit judges who are in regular active service.

Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The petition for panel rehearing is denied.

The petition for rehearing en banc is denied.

The mandate of the court will issue on May 26, 2020.

FOR THE COURT

May 18, 2020
Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner
Peter R. Marksteiner
Clerk of Court