

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991)
RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956)
SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995)
LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950)
JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER
5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU
CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA
TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

HONG KONG CLUB BUILDING, 12TH FLOOR
3A CHATER ROAD, CENTRAL
HONG KONG
TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE
10 NOBLE STREET
LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM
TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 373-3212

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 492-0212

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

ngroombridge@paulweiss.com

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING
2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME
CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN
TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE
77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100
P.O. BOX 226
TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3
TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

2001 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047
TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200
POST OFFICE BOX 32
WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032
TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410

MATTHEW W. ABBOTT
EDWARD J. ACKERMAN
JACOB A. ADLERSTEIN
JUSTIN ANDERSON
ALLAN J. ARFFA
ROBERT A. ATKINS
DAVID J. BALL
SCOTT A. BARSHAY
PAUL M. BASTA
JOHN F. BAUGHMAN
J. STEVEN BAUGHMAN
LYNN B. BAYARD
CRAIG A. BENSON
MITCHELL L. BERG
MARK S. BERGMAN
DAVID M. BERNICK
JOSEPH J. BIAZI
BRUCE BIRENBOIM
H. CHRISTOPHER BOEHNING
ANGELO BONVINO
ROBERT BRITTON
DAVID W. BROWN
SUSANNA M. BUERGEL
JESSICA S. CAREY
DAVID CARMONA
GEOFFREY R. CHEPIGA
ELLEN N. CHING
WILLIAM C. CLAREMAN
LEWIS R. CLAYTON
YAHONNES CLEARY
JAY COHEN
KATHLEEN A. CORNISH
CHRISTOPHER J. CUMMINGS
THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III
ARIEL J. DECKELBAUM
ALICE BELLISSA EATON
ANDREW J. EHRlich
GREGORY A. EZRING
ROSS A. FIELDSTON
ANDREW C. FINCH
BRAD J. FINKELSTEIN
BRIAN P. FINNEGAN
ROBERTO FINZI
PETER E. FISCH
HARRIS FISCHMAN
MARTIN FLUMENBAUM
ANDREW J. FOLEY
ANDREW J. FORMAN*
HARRIS B. FREIDUS
CHRISTOPHER D. FREY
MANUEL S. FREY
ANDREW L. GAINES
KENNETH A. GALLO
MICHAEL E. GERTZMAN
ADAM M. GIVERTZ
SALVATORE GOGLIORMELLA
NEIL GOLDMAN
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CATHERINE L. GOODALL
ERIC GOODISON
CHARLES H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. GRIEVE
UDI GROFMAN
NICHOLAS GROOMBRIDGE
BRUCE A. GUTENPLAN
ALAN S. HALPERIN
CLAUDIA HAMMERMAN
BRIAN S. HERMANN
MICHELE HIRSHMAN
DAVID S. HUNTINGTON
AMIRAN HUSSEIN
LORETTA A. IPPOLITO
JAREN JANGHORBANI
BRIAN M. JANSON
JEH C. JOHNSON
MEREDITH J. KANE
JONATHAN S. KANTER
BRAD S. KARP

PATRICK N. KARSNITZ
JOHN C. KENNEDY
BRIAN KIM
KYLE J. KIMPLER
ALAN W. KORNBERG
DANIEL J. KRAMER
DAVID K. LAKHDHIR
JOHN E. LANGE
GREGORY F. LAUFER
BRIAN C. LAVIN
XIAOYU GREG LIU
LORETTA E. LYNCH
JEFFREY D. MARELL
MARCO V. MASOTTI
DAVID W. MAYO
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM
JEAN M. MCLOUGHLIN
ALVARO MEMBRILLERA
MARK F. MENDELSON
CLAUDINE MEREDITH-GOUJON
WILLIAM B. MICHAEL
JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
CATHERINE NYARADY
JANE S. O'BRIEN
DAVID K. YOUNG K. OH
BRAD R. OKUN
KELLEY D. PARKER
LINDSAY B. PARKS
VALERIE E. RADWANER
JEFFREY J. RECHER
CARL L. REISNER
DORIN L. REISNER
JEANNIE S. RHEE*
WALTER G. RICCIARDI
WALTER RIEMAN
RICHARD A. ROSEN
ANDREW N. ROSENBERG
JUSTIN ROSENBERG
JACQUELINE F. RUBIN
CHARLES F. "RICK" RULE*
RAPHAEL M. RUSSO
ELIZABETH M. SACKSTEDER
JEFFREY D. SAFERSTEIN
JEFFREY B. SAMUELS
TERRY E. SCHIMEK
KENNETH M. SCHNEIDER
ROBERT B. SCHUMER
JOHN M. SCOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM*
DAVID R. SICULAR
AUDRA J. SOLOWAY
SCOTT M. SONTAG
SARAH STASNY
TARUN M. STEWART
ERIC ALAN STONE
AIDAN SYNNOTT
RICHARD C. TARLOWE
MONICA K. THURMOND
DANIEL J. TOAL
LAURA C. TURANO
CONRAD VAN LOGGERENBERG
LIZA M. VELAZQUEZ
MICHAEL VOGEL
RAMY J. WAHBEH
LAWRENCE G. WEE
THEODORE V. WELLS, JR.
LINDSEY L. WIERSMA
STEVEN J. WILLIAMS
LAWRENCE I. WITDORCHIC
MARK B. WLAZLO
JULIA TARVER MASON WOOD
JENNIFER H. WU
BETTY YAP*
JORDAN E. YARETT
KAYE N. YOSHINO
TONG YU
TRACEY A. ZACCONE
TAURIE M. ZEITZER
T. ROBERT ZOCHOWSKI, JR.

*NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR

November 22, 2019

By ECF

Colonel Peter R. Marksteiner, USAF, Ret.
Circuit Executive and Clerk of Court
United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit
717 Madison Place, N.W., Room 401
Washington, DC 20439

Biogen MA Inc. v. EMD Serono, Inc., No. 2019-1133

Dear Colonel Marksteiner:

We write in response to Appellants EMD Serono, Inc. and Pfizer, Inc. (collectively, "Appellants")'s citation to *Idenix Pharmaceuticals LLC v. Gilead Sciences Inc.*, 941 F.3d 1149 (Fed. Cir. 2019) as supplemental authority [D.I. 84].

Repeating an argument from their Blue Brief, Appellants first contend that the patent-in-suit claims the therapeutic use of polypeptides “made in a very large genus of host cells” but does not disclose whether or how therapeutically effective compounds can be made in those cells without undue experimentation. Firstly, as set forth in Biogen’s Red Brief, this factual assertion was properly rejected by the jury as there was substantial evidence, including testimony from Appellants’ own expert, that “many types of cells had been used as host cells” by the relevant priority date. (Red Br. at 37-38.)

Secondly, Appellants’ argument is based on how the compounds to be administered in the claimed method are made, not what those compounds are. By contrast, the focus in *Idenix* was on the identity of compounds to be used in the claimed method—specifically, which 2’-methyl-up nucleosides were enabled and described—not how to make those compounds. In the instant case, however, the evidence was precisely what was missing in *Idenix*. The patent in *Idenix* failed to disclose or enable the use of a compound with a 2’-fluoro-down substituent like the accused product; “the compound in question [was] conspicuously absent.” 941 F.3d at 1165. In this case, however, the patent-in-suit not only describes in detail recombinant interferon-beta-like polypeptides made in non-human hosts that have biological activity like native human interferon-beta, but also includes a dependent claim—claim 2—directed at the precise amino-acid sequence of Appellants’ accused product. (Red Br. at 42.)

Likewise, whereas the patent in *Idenix* failed to provide any “method of distinguishing effective from ineffective compounds for the compounds reaching beyond the formulas disclosed in the ’597 patent,” 941 F.3d at 1164, here the patent-in-suit describes in detail evidence that recombinant interferon-beta-like polypeptides have biological activity comparable to that of native human interferon-beta, and provides a process for confirming whether any particular recombinant interferon-beta-like polypeptide in fact exhibits such activity. *See, e.g.*, Appx136–140 & Appx141 (’755 Patent at col. 37:17–46:38 & col. 48:28–35); (Red Br. at 37–39). That the evidence before the jury in *Idenix* could permit only a verdict of invalidity does not affect the jury’s conclusion about the very different evidence in this case.

Appellants also claim that *Idenix* holds that in method-of-treatment patents the therapeutic compounds themselves must be described and enabled. Quite the contrary. The district court in *Idenix* construed the “method of treatment” preamble to be merely a “narrowing functional limitation” on the compounds themselves, and neither party challenged that construction on appeal. 941 F.3d at 1155 (citing *Idenix Pharm. LLC v. Gilead Scis., Inc.*, 2016 WL 6802481, at *5 (D. Del. Nov. 16, 2016)). Instead, the parties agreed and this Court accepted that the only question presented was whether the genus of chemical compounds themselves were described and enabled, with the parties’ arguments focusing exclusively on “the presence of various possible substituents at the 2’-up and 2’-down positions.” *Id.* at 1154. *Idenix* thus never addresses, and casts no doubt on, the Section 112 issue presented by this appeal: whether the district court correctly instructed the jury that, “Here, it is the method of treatment that must be” described and enabled, “not the proteins to be used or the way they are made.” Appx47670–47672.

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Colonel Peter R. Marksteiner, USAF, Ret.

3

Idenix applied settled law to the particular facts of that case. It is no way inconsistent with the jury's rejection of Appellants' Section 112 defenses on the facts of this case.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Nicholas Groombridge

Nicholas Groombridge

cc: All counsel of record (via ECF)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on November 22, 2019, I caused the foregoing to be filed with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit using the CM/ECF system.

I further certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

/s/ Nicholas Groombridge
Nicholas Groombridge